IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 16423 of 2000

Between:

P V R Aided Upper Primary School rep by its

Manager & Correspondent Sri P Ramanadham
Dwarakapadu, Valaparla Village, Martur Mandal,

Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

-

1 Superintendent of Police, Ongole, Prakasam District.
2 Circle Inspector of Police, Inkollu Police Station, Inkollu,

Prakasam District.
3 Sub Inspector of Police, Martur, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to take action on the complaint of the petitioner dt.29/7/2000 and register the crime and to investigate into the same and to issue a consequential direction, directing the respondents ton provide protection to the petitioner for construction of the School Building and the Compound Wall.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.S.PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner is an aided school. The school constructed a building in the site admeasuring Acs.1.00 in S.No.294/2 of Velaparla Village, Ongole Taluk, which was assigned to it. When the occupants of the other land on the Northern side of the school tried to encroach upon the school land, the petitioner filed O.S.No.80 of 1985 on the file of the court of the Principal District Judge, Addanki. According to the petitioner, the said suit was decreed in favour of the petitioner. Thereafter, when the petitioner was constructing a compound wall, the occupants of the neighbourhood threatened the petitioner's officials and therefore, the petitioner gave a complaint to the Inspector General of Police, Guntur range and also Superintendent of Police, Ongole. In this writ petition it is complained that in spite of the complaint submitted to the Superintendent of Police, the third respondent did not registered the crime against the culprits, who interfered with the activities of the petitioner. The petitioner seeks a direction to the first respondent to take appropriate action on the representation submitted by it.

A copy of the representation submitted to the first respondent is annexed to the writ petition in which the petitioner complained about the high-handed action of about 6 persons, who allegedly threatened the petitioner's officials. Therefore, instead of keeping the writ petition pending the first respondent is directed to look into the complaint and take appropriate action in the matter. Liberty is also given to the petitioner to approach the first respondent with a coy of the representation immediately.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations and directions, is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J.

6th July, 2005

Js

To

1 Superintendent of Police, Ongole, Prakasam District.
2 Circle Inspector of Police, Inkollu Police Station, Inkollu,

Prakasam District.
3 Sub Inspector of Police, Martur, Prakasam District.

4 Two CCs to G.P. for HOme, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

4 2 CD copies.